

European Commission



Renewal Assessment Report
prepared according to Regulation (EC) N° 1107/2009

Aluminium Silicate Calcined
(Kaolin calcined)
SOKALCIARBO WP
SOKA
Volume 3 (CP)-B5

Rapporteur Member State: GREECE
Co-Rapporteur Member State: FRANCE

May 2020

Version history

When	What
May 2020	draft Renewal Assessment report (dRAR) – prepared by RMS EL in the context of the application for renewal of approval of the a.s. according to Reg (EU) No 1107/2009.

Table of contents

B Summary, evaluation and assessment of the data and information

B.5	Methods of analysis	4
B.5.1	Methods used for the generation of pre-authorisation data	4
B.5.1.1	Methods for the Analysis of plant protection product.....	4
B.5.1.2	Methods for the determination of residues	4
B.5.2	Methods for post-authorisation control and monitoring purposes	4
B.5.3	References relied on	4

B.5 Methods of analysis

B.5.1 Methods used for the generation of pre-authorisation data

B.5.1.1 Methods for the Analysis of plant protection product

Due to the composition of the representative formulation SOKALCIARBO WP no additional data/study for the representative formulation SOKALCIARBO WP was performed.

Please refer to Vol 4, SOKA point C.1.3.4.1-Active substance and/or variant in the plant protection product (5.1.1 a).

B.5.1.2 Methods for the determination of residues

The following studies were indicated by ecotox experts as possible key studies for risk assessment:

- 1) Vryenhoef H., 2018, SOKALCIARBO WP: Algal Growth Inhibition Test, Report No HM69VD
- 2) K-CP 10.3.1.2 Mamet O., 2008 DETERMINATION DE LA DL50 DE CONT ACT SUR ABEILLE DOMESTIQUE (APISMELLIFERA) PAR DIFFERENTES APPLICATIONS DE SOKALCIARBO WP, report No : 139-2008

Although notifier initially declared that method is on-going and will be provided as soon as available, subsequently provided the following comment: *“Aluminium silicate is not soluble and extremely stable. Thus, the analytical verification of the feeding solutions should be not relevant and the results of the test should be considered valid. The applicant is working on a validation, but due to the nature of Aluminium silicate, no current method can be used and therefore, this validation seems to be technically very difficult to demonstrate.”*

B.5.2 Methods for post-authorisation control and monitoring purposes

Active substance and relevant impurities

Please refer to Vol 4, SOKA, points

C.1.3.4.1 Active substance and/or variant in the plant protection product (5.1.1 a).

C.1.3.4.2 Relevant impurities identified in the technical material or which may be formed during manufacture of the plant protection product or from degradation of the plant protection product during storage (5.1.1 b)

Residues

No analytical methods are submitted.

Based on the current evaluation – where no residue definitions are set - no analytical methods are required.

B.5.3 References relied on

Please refer to Vol 4